Investigative Journalism in India: Case studies of prominent Journalists

Introduction

Indian journalism took the investigative route with hesitant yet effective steps. The government and media organizations gave little importance to investigative journalism. It is only after the emergency that investigative journalism gained importance with the efforts of a few committed journalists. Several journalists such as Arun Shourie, challenged the might of the then government and thus had to pay a price. The socio-political conditions of the country helped in the growth of the Indian investigative journalism. Later, the work and effort of journalists institutionalized investigative journalism in India. The lives and times of these journalists can throw light on the development of this genre in India. The researcher would like to study the works of major investigative journalists and also the media history of the period in bringing out the unique contribution of the journalists. In the art of statecraft, ‘Arthshastra’ is one of the fundamental and historical books written by Chanakya. According to this ancient text, even the honesty of judges should be periodically tested by the agent provocateurs. Arthshastra can be called as the best example of investigative journalism in Indian history. It can be said that modern day sting used in investigative journalism derives same authority from the writings of Chanakya.

After getting freedom from the British yoke our leaders promised us a free press. Even the tall promises regarding the freedom of expression and speech have been made in our Indian Constitution in Article 19. Paradoxically, Indian Constitution nowhere gives any independent provision regarding press. It is considered to be the part and parcel of Article 19 whereas American Constitution specifically provides a right to press. The historian Robin Jeffery has pointed that “the first amendment to the US constitution guaranteed freedom of speech and the press. The first amendment to the Indian constitution, passed in June 1951, curtailed those rights. It permitted governments to ban publication of material likely to disturb public order, incite people to commit a crime as harm relations with foreign powers”.

Ironically, press is also considered to be the fourth pillar of democracy in India yet it has not been able to rise from a partisan role. The press from 1947-1967 can be called free but it was always in cooperation with the government. During this time the press hardly tried to evaluate

---

1 Research Scholar, Department of Media Studies, Punjab University Chandigarh.
the decisions and policies of the State. The status of press after independence can be described clearly in the words of Rajender Puri: “The national press after independence has been traditionally obedient. In the early years this was partly due to momentum of the freedom struggle, partly due the towering personality of Nehru. All our national newspapers also happen to be patterned after the quality press of Great Britain and the upper-class restraint that the English exercised there was caricatured into an obsequious obedience to authority by the journalists here”.

This period from the 1947-1967 can be termed as the black period in the history of investigative journalism in India. Journalists used to support the State instead of being watchdogs of Indian democracy. The Times of India, Hindustan Times, Indian Express, The Statesman and The Hindu also called Pachyderms were the five big and important newspapers after independence. These all five newspapers were the voice of the State and none of them believed in investigative journalism. These newspapers believed in the bureaucratically given information and worked hand in glove with the State in the so-called development of the nation. Rajender Puri critiques the late sixties as, “The newsmen of Delhi certainly proved themselves to be committed journalists in those days, but their commitment was not to the ethics of their profession, or to the skill of their craft, or even to the will of the people, but only the will of the government”.

Till 1968 that is 21 years after the independence, not much had changed in the Indian media scenario. The Pachyderms worked as usual and cared little about the investigative journalism. There was no real competition for these five big newspapers. There were only a few reporters working as watchdogs. Kuldip Nayar and Inder Malhotra were outstanding reporters of their times. Nayar’s column ‘Between the lines’ was extremely well informed and popular among the masses. It was only after the emergency that the investigative journalism gathered pace in India. National emergency was declared by the Prime Minister Smt. Indira Gandhi in June 1975. “She had advised the president to proclaim emergency without consulting her cabinet.”

Due to the emergency, fundamental rights guaranteed by Article 19 were suspended.

The paper focuses on the unique contribution of four journalists who have contributed immensely to this genre of journalism in India. The lives and works of these journalists illuminate development of investigative journalism in India. 


Kuldip Nayar in the early seventies wrote against the then prime minister of India, Indira Gandhi. In his articles he challenged the authoritarian government of Indira Gandhi. Indira Gandhi was hailed as ‘Durga’ by the opposition leader Atal Bihari Vajpayee, after her victory against Bangladesh war in 1971. According to Nayar, Indira Gandhi felt herself as a roman emperor returning after a triumphant war. This supreme power and a sense of authority gave Indira Gandhi an impression that she was above all authority.

Nayar says, “The basic departure in her approach was that the politics which since independence had had a consensual element began bearing her personal domineering stamp. She, in fact, began going out of her way to rub her political opponent the wrong way” (Nayar, 2012, 220). Article 19 1(a) which gives the power of speech and expression was completely blocked. Barring few media houses (Indian Express, Seminar) the media in general took the line of the state. It is in such difficult times that real journalist are born. Kuldip Nayar is one such journalist who stood for the press values and also went to the prison during the emergency. The courage and quest for truth that Nayar showed gave encouragement to other journalists to indulge in investigative reporting. The emergency can be understood from the book written by Nayar called “The Judgement” (1977).

One of the reasons for the emergency as stated by Kuldip Nayar in his autobiography “Beyond the lines” was Justice Krishna Iyer. “I suspect that being a leftist in his leaning he held a soft corner for Indira Gandhi who said6 to be left of centre. The left generally supported her because of her nationalization of banks and insurance companies. Some responsibility for what happened during the Emergency rested on the shoulders of Justice Iyer because he gave her the stay”. This seems to be an interesting reason neglected by the historians.

It is believed that Nayar was arrested by the state for being bold in his opposition of Emergency. The son of the then prime minister Indira Gandhi wanted to silence the top journalist after imposing press censorship. He remained in the jail for a period of three months. Not a single journalist in the country supported Nayar’s family when he was in the prison. “It had been tough on my family because no relative or journalist or personal friends of mine had dared to

Sanjay Gandhi played an important and powerful role in the Emergency. He has given very few interviews and little is known about his side of the story. In his autobiography Nayar has for the first time written about his interview with Sanjay. This interview was taken in the first place for the book called “The Judgement”. But it was not included in that book as per the wish of Sanjay Gandhi. “Sanjay had heard about the book I was writing. Strangely, he asked me not to include any part of our conversation...............I have however no obligation after his death and therefore disclosing the gist of our conversation for the first time”.7

This interview brings out the real side of Sanjay Gandhi the architect of Emergency who wanted the “Emergency for at least 20 to 25 years or more until they have changed the people’s way of thinking”. Sanjay also believed in a state completely devoid of fundamental rights, freedom of speech and expression.

Indira Gandhi ended the emergency and the congress lost the elections held after the emergency. It was in the response to the incapability shown by the leading newspapers that journalism saw the trend8 of daring publications like Nayar’s “The Judgement”. The book presents the authentic inside story of the emergency. It is an important document in the area of Indian media history. The book starts from 12 June 1975, the day Allahabad High Court judgement unseating Mrs Gandhi came. The volume traces the decline in quality of the democratic values in India with the implementation of emergency. In the conclusion the book
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also brings up the judgement rendered by the people in favour of democracy. The people who ruled during the emergency lost the elections. In addition Nayar also brings out the Maruti scandal, the fate of MISA (Maintenance of Internal Security Act) prisoners, and the role of intelligence organization and police.

The press of that time supported and applauded Nayar and his book. “Top of the heap was the newly sainted (after his jail spell) Kuldip Nayar whose judgement was an instant bestseller.” This trend was followed by Janaradan Thakur who became famous for his book ‘All the Prime Minister’s Men’. The title seems to be inspired by the famous book on Watergate, ‘All the President’s Men’.

The art of writing books to present important issues was further developed by the father of Indian investigative journalism, Arun Shourie. Nayar throughout his long career has used books as a powerful source of examining intricate subjects. In his autobiography he has brought out the problem of commercialization and other challenges faced by the media. In the history of investigative journalism Nayar emphasised the fact that even a dictatorial regime can be challenged by the force of pen. The heroism of Nayar at the time of emergency motivated the journalists to take the watchdog role. It also gave birth to the narrative of dissent and challenged the authority in the name of freedom of expression. Thus, Nayar paved the path for investigative journalism in India with many other journalists of his times.

During 1977, emergency was the most significant incident. Judiciary, executive, legislature, and press had to face the authoritarian rule of Mrs Gandhi. But Indira Gandhi again came to power and was able to re-establish the dynastic rule. She was able to regain the support of judiciary, executive, and legislature however she failed to garner the support of the press.

Emergency led to three things, “Firstly the influence of pachyderms declined. In 1977, there was a general feeling that the major dailies had let the country down by supporting the dictatorial government of Mrs Gandhi. Secondly, the emergency created hunger for a new kind of news. The news that went beyond the blended official statements and dug out what was really happening is investigative reporting. Thirdly, as the papers went into decline in the immediate post-Emergency phase, a new crop of slick, professionally produced magazines mushroomed to take their place”.

(2) **Arun Shourie**

Arun Shourie can be called the real product of emergency in the field of media. He is considered as the father of investigative journalism in India. Shourie used both newspaper and books as the medium to investigate important and challenging matters. The best thing about the Shourie brand of investigative journalism is that it believes in meticulous research of the documents or
available material. It is on the basis of these documents that Shourie was able to expose many wrong doings of the regime.

In the beginning Shourie was encouraged by his organization but eventually he was fired for his courageous style of writing. Shourie started his journalistic career by writing opinion pieces for the magazine India today. Shourie was hired by the owner of the Indian Express, Mr. Ram Nath Goenka, as an executive editor. Goenka later came to know about the superb journalistic skills that Shourie possessed. Shourie did not change much in the Indian Express. The single significant thing he did was that he called his journalists to investigate every bureaucratically given information.

Shourie exposed A R Antulay the then chief minister of Maharashtra in 1981. Mr. Antulay was involved in corrupt practices. He was allotting cement quotas to builders in lieu of some contributions towards the trusts that he had founded. He had to resign after the stories about him were reported. Another important story done by the Indian express under Shourie was about the flesh trade in Madhya Pradesh. The story was broken in 1981. The reporter Ashwini Sarin,9 exposed the flesh trade racket by buying a girl named ‘Kamla’ for Rs. 2300(Lakshman (ed.), 2007). Writing for ‘The Illustrated Weekly of India’ in 1985, Malavika and Vir Sanghvi highlighted the impact of Shourie on Indian journalism.

According to the writers, “Arun Shourie had an impact on Indian journalism that few will be able to equal. Firstly, he found the power of the newspapers: if you have a story on the front page of every one of your editions when parliament is in session, then the government has to take notice. Secondly, he showed journalists how to push themselves, how to always investigate further and to document everything. Thirdly, he became the first Indian journalist to become a nationally respected figure and thereby attested the entire perception of journalism as profession”. Shourie after his term in the Indian Express, could not keep his job intact for a long time. Shourie had to resign for his courage and brave ideas. Shourie after his newspaper work started writing books in order to investigate important matters of public concern which again became a great success.

According to Martha C. Nussbaum, “Shourie has been a prolific author. All his books are recognizably the creation of a smart, determined muckraking journalist. They are polemical, ad hominem, often extremely shrill in tone.” She further writes, “The books written by Shourie are obviously the work of a brilliant man, with wide if idiosyncratic learning, a passion for
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freedom of speech and press, and a desire to get beneath current events to address underlying issues.”

The two important books that bring out the important elements of Shourie’s journalism are ‘Symptoms of fascism’ and the other ‘Worshipping False God’. Symptoms of fascism bring out the “abuses of power” at the time of emergency. Shourie in his 1978 book ‘Symptoms of Fascism’ seems to be hardly impressed with the press of that time. An important issue regarding the deaths of hundreds of young men and women in alleged encounters in Andhra Pradesh was given little importance by the press. Shourie and eight members of his team investigated the matter and came up with two interim reports namely ‘Encounters are Murders’ and ‘The Killing in Guntur’. According to Shourie, “press did not follow up work on its own. A couple of investigative reports and that is all. Contrast this with the space and effort it devoted to the Nanavati murder case some years ago and to the Vidya Jain murder case more recently.” (Shourie, 1978, 322) It proves that sensationalism has always been present in Indian media and is not a recent phenomenon.

Shourie also have an activist zeal and believes that change can only happen when every citizen contributes towards it. With his investigative works Shourie also tried to educate and forewarn the citizens about the dangers of dictatorship and authoritarianism.

(3) Chitra Subramaniam

Chitra Subramaniam is one of the most renowned investigative journalist in India. Famous for her meticulous investigation of the Bofors-Indian howitzer deal. Chitra started her journalist career by reporting in India today (news magazine) in 1979. After this she moved to Switzerland in 1983. Chitra was in Greece as a united nation (UN) correspondent when she came to know about the Bofors pay off through radio. A foreign radio station can be given the credit for the most important investigative story in the history of Indian investigative journalism. The Swedish radio broadcast claimed that AB Bofors paid money to key Indian policy makers and top defense official to secure the deal. The news of this broadcast was first reported by Chitra Subramaniam for ‘The Hindu’ (newspaper). With the assistance of ‘The Hindu’ newspaper and its editor N. Ram she was able to unearth important secrets regarding corruption in the Bofors deal between India and Sweden. Colombia school of journalism has rated this story as one of the best among the hundred best stories. This story of political corruption which started on 16 April 1987 is still in news and has not reached the conclusion. In her book “Bofors: The Story Behind the News” Chitra Subramaniam gives the complete story of the Bofors scandal. This volume is significant because it disputed the official version. It is able to establish the truth with the aid of proper investigation and documents. It was an
international scandal involving Sweden, Switzerland and India. The script brings out all the subtle nuances regarding this scandal at a single post. It can be called a genuine work in the area of media history.

In the area of investigative journalism this case brings out the importance of two issues. The first is the role of whistle-blowers and the second is the importance of documents. In the words of Subramaniam, “I would have had no story to narrate-and no document to back that story without ‘Sting’ my principle source in Sweden”. Sting provided Subramaniam, “300 documents that established massive fraud in the Bofors-India howitzer payoff scandal. Among them were bank documents, credits slips, payment instructions from Bofors to the banks in Sweden and Switzerland”. It can be stated that this scandal could only be unearthed due to the aid of the whistle-blower and diligent work of investigative journalist. In this story documents played an important role. All kinds of papers related to the case were studied in order to determine the truth. In the words of Subramaniam, “Nothing connected with the story was ever thrown out and the early notes, doodling, and first drafts of stories soon grew into a large pile”. In this case government of India tried its best to cover-up the whole case. In those days there was no ‘whistle-blower act’ and ‘right to information act’ in India. One significant impact of the probe was that due to it Rajiv Gandhi government lost the elections (1989). The work of Subramaniam proved corruption charges against the government. A single journalist brought down a mighty but a crooked regime. It can be summed up in the words of Subramaniam, “My phone rang off the hook with friends calling from India. ‘Your stories sealed the fate of his government” said Indian journalist Vir Sanghavi.

Chitra Subramaniam wrote another book called ‘India is for sale’ in 1997. It contains nine satirical essays. These essays highlight the corrupt practices in Indian political organization. Subramaniam herself states that the reason and crux of the book was that “If I were to tell you something about this book in a few words, I’d say it’s a little about growing up pains and a lot about those needless pains that plague you when you refuse to grow up. It is about asking the Vedas to decipher your past and the World Bank to predict your future while your present falls apart in front of you. It’s about behaving like an irresponsible adolescent at 50” (Subramaniam, 1997, 3). This book was written in 1997 when India turned 50. This book takes account of the challenges and failure that India faced till 1997. From the starting till the end, she maintains the muckraking and the satirical tone in her writing. She is critical of our politicians who have little knowledge regarding the economic matters. Subramaniam uses very strong words while
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mentioning the understanding of our leaders in economic matters. According to her, “Davos is a big showroom where you display your country, your industry, and your services…. To this forum India sends politicians who are armed not just with their ignorance of Indian and international politics but also long list of complexes……. No other country’s politicians beg for money from one side of the mouth while criticising the ways of their donors with the other as our politicians do”. In the essay ‘Intellectual Singh Paperwala’ Subramaniam criticises the pseudo-Indian intellectual who is not aware of the real challenges that the country is facing. “In other parts of the world, intellectuals come from all sections of societies. In India they come from circles so closed and incestuous that ultimately, they become irrelevant to the country’s needs”. The book is autobiographical in nature and “the situation drawn in this book are from real experience, the name and characters portrayed are the product of the author’s imagination”. It seems Subramaniam have used this device in the book in order to uphold the privacy of people she has written about.

(4) Mathew Samuel and use of technology in investigative journalism

The basic tools for print reporters are the pencils and notebook and for television reporters are camera and videotapes. Due to the technological innovation in present times, we are witnessing the rising importance of the new technology. This new technology has changed the way in which investigative journalism is being conducted. Undercover investigative reporting has become the new form of broadcast reporting. This has become possible due to miniature audio and video technology.11 Today a reporter can enter any place. For instance, WikiLeaks (wikileaks.org) proved the fact that even the privacy of the most powerful nation (USA) is not secure.

The use of technology has started a debate regarding the privacy laws. The focus now is on the practices of investigative reporters and their use of hidden cameras instead of the information that has been uncovered. ‘Food Lion’ case is an important example. In this case, the court found12 that ABC News was guilty of using fraudulent tactics when going undercover with a hidden camera to investigate the reports of unsanitary conditions in a local Food Lion supermarket. As the result of the Food Lion verdict, many new organizations have modified their use of undercover reporters and hidden cameras. Indian courts have taken the side of the undercover journalists as privacy laws are still at a nascent stage. The judgement of the Delhi


High court in the ‘Aniruddha Bahal v. State’ case has supported the undercover journalism in order to unearth corruption. The following quote from the judgement, “I consider that in order to expose corruption at higher level and to show to what extent the state managers are corrupt, acting as agent provocateurs does not amount to committing a crime” brings out the Indian position. In India, Tehelka sting is one case where the matter of technical usage and privacy came into conflict for the first time. It seems that sting as an investigative tool that has been institutionalized in India.

The book named “Tehelka as Metaphor” by Madhu Trehan tries to bring out the truth of this sting. In March 2001, the website Tehelka broke “Operation West End”, the biggest sting operation and undercover news story in Indian journalism. Tehlaka’s reporters infiltrated the Indian government, bribed army officers, and gave money to the President of the ruling party. This eventually forced ministers to resign. In a rigorously researched and searing authentic account of the Tehelka expose’ and its aftermath, Madhu Trehan did a forensic study of the imperative at the root of it, the characters and heroes and villains of the Tehelka sting operation story, and of how the system got back: by obfuscating, by attempting to destroy Tehelka and its investors. The book brings out the point that how the government used instruments of democracy to destroy the investors without leaving any footprints. In the style of Rashomon, the Tehelka operation story is related by numerous participants of the same incidents and, of course, none of the stories tally. This level of uncertainty is always attached with stings. It can be called the “Rashomon” effect. During the Tehelka sting operation the most important work was done by a lesser-known journalist called Mathew Samuel.

Mathew Samuel is a Delhi based investigative journalist. In the beginning Samuel worked for Mangalam newspaper and Midday for a short period. He then worked as an investigative journalist for a dot-com company called Tehelka, which means ‘sensation’. Samuel and Aniruddha Bahal together took part in the sting operation “Operation West End”. This led to the resignation of the ministers of the national democratic alliance and it nearly brought down the government of India in 2001. This sting operation was the brain child of Mathew Samuel. According to him: “We began by creating fake brochures for a fake firm in London,13 ostensibly dealing in equipment for the armed forces. We called our firm Westend. We printed visiting cards and began by meeting people in the bottom rung. We told them we had a product
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– handheld thermal imagers – that could be of immense use to the military. We paid money at every level and worked our way up to several officers in the defence ministry. The entire operation lasted eight months. We could have gone even farther but for the lack of resources. We were also scared that our bluff will be called if we kept pushing our luck.”One hundred and five (105) tapes were recorded by the Tehelka team and most of them were done by Mathew. It is strange that Tehelka sting is known by Tarun Tejpal, who did the little investigative work. It seems that he was not able to market himself like Tarun Tejpal, who started a weekend newspaper, Tehelka. In an interview to Madhu Trehan the failure on his part could be understood.

Madhu: “But Samuel, if you had given interviews and let people take your picture, you would have also become a star.”

Samuel: “I never need like that, one thing. I never want to be celebrity, or anything. I have my own limitations. I’m warring. I’m not like an order of the day, anywhere. I’m working like that. I got many crawls now also to give interviews. But I refuse to give interview.”

Journalists like Mathew Sameul seem to be misfit in the market driven media. Marketing and public relations have become the inseparable part of media. The inner core is being neglected. There are very few journalists who want to stay with the story after the initial expose’. The case of Mathew Sameul is important because he was neglected by his fraternity and punished by the state against whom he acted. The good thing is that Mathew has broadened the space for investigative journalism in India.

(5) Important Reasons for the Later Development of Investigative Journalism in India

Investigative journalism in India is not growing at a steady rate. In comparison to America and England we are lagging behind. Investigative journalism in India is a very recent phenomenon. The investigation of Bofors guns was the high point in the late eighties. The use of new technology has its benefits, but technology cannot replace the thorough investigation required for investigative journalism. This part attempts to point out the causes for the delay of investigative journalism in India. It will also elaborate the reasons for slow growth of this trade.

Development Journalism and Lack of Competition

After independence the journalists15 in India spent most of the time on development stories. The journalist felt it was their duty to propagate the policies of the state. One important reason


was also the charisma of Nehru who was able to charm the media. Thus, an atmosphere was created in which media started respecting the authority. There were very few journalists who played the role of watchdog. The other reason for the slow growth after Independence is lack of competition among the leading newspapers.

**Political Pressure**

In India media was never free. Our leading papers have always been owned by the industrialists. The politicians were able to influence the owners of media. Indira Gandhi said about press, “How much freedom can the press have in a country like India fighting poverty, backwardness, ignorance, disease and superstition”. She then “answered her own question by making it clear that the less freedom the press had, the better it was, in her book” (Malvika, Sanghvi). In Indian context the state has always tried to keep its control over the press.

**Legal Causes for the Late Development of Investigative Journalism in India**

In India, after independence the government kept strict control over the official information. The law of Official secret act was used to prevent a citizen from scrutinizing official information. This also prevented journalists from working on investigative stories. The scenario changed in June 2005 with the passing of ‘Right to information act. The Passing of this act has given a solid advantage to investigative journalism.’ Watergate’ and ‘Bofors’ both happened with the help of the whistle-blowers called ‘Deep-throat’ and ‘Sting’. In India for more than sixty years there was no whistle-blower act. In India this act has come into form only on 9 may 2014. These two laws are decisive for investigative journalism. In India the right of freedom of speech and expression is same for all. The journalists working under difficult situation should be considered for some special rights.

Commercialization in Media

In the present-day media has become a big industry. The most important\textsuperscript{16} goal of media industry is to earn profit. It is indulging in activities like paid news. “It is a scandalous phenomenon in Indian media, in which mainstream media (with a few exceptions) was found to be systematically engaged in publishing favourable articles in exchange for payment”. In such a scenario it is difficult to think about the growth of investigative journalism.

(6) Conclusion

In India it has taken a considerable time for the establishment of investigative journalism. This journalistic genre is practiced by very few journalists in India. The journalist involved in investigative journalism face political, legal and fiscal obstacles. The work and times of the four important journalists discussed in this paper, gives us an opportunity to understand the history and development of investigative journalism in India. In India \textsuperscript{17}it is by the effort of few individual practitioners that investigative journalism has become a respectable, coveted and distinct genre of journalism. The state of investigative journalism in India\textsuperscript{18} can best be understood by Alasdair Macintyre’s approach, who has described how social practices develop and persist overtime. Social practice according to Macintyre\textsuperscript{19} is “a coherent complex cooperative human activity in a social setting. He says members of practice obtain goods that are specific to the practice by carrying out activities in pursuits of standard of excellence. Macintyre further argues that a social practice is sustained and indeed progresses through the efforts of practitioners to meet and extend the practice’s standard of excellence.” (Aucoin, 2005, 5). In India we find that journalists have on their own made efforts and have exercised courage, justice and honesty. According to Macintyre, “They are acquired human traits the exercise of which contribute materially to the development and extension of practices” (Lambeth, 1990). In India the journalists on their own are developing the field of investigative journalism but they are not able to develop powerful institutes for sustaining the practice like


IRE (Investigative Reports and editors) and CIJ (Centre for investigative Journalism). The real growth as argued by Macintyre can happen when both institute and journalists are strong.\textsuperscript{20}